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Diene-conjugated nitrile ylides of the type 1a, having substituents other than hydrogen in the $R^{1}$ position, cyclise normally to give the $\mathbf{C - 7 b}$ substituted cyclopropa[c]isoquinolines 2 a . In cases where $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ or $\mathbf{R}^{3}=H$ the latter undergo the usual thermal rearrangement to give 5-substituted 2-benzazepines 5a. However, when $R^{2}$ and $R^{3} \neq H$ and either is a $C H_{3}$ group, then the presence of the $C-7 b$ substituent in 2a diverts the thermal rearrangement into a new reaction path leading to the formation of 1,4-dihydro-4alkenylisoquinolines 14 in high yield.

## Introduction

It has been shown in earlier work ${ }^{1}$ that nitrile ylides of the type 1a (Scheme 1, $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}$ ), when generated at room temperature

or below, react to give cyclopropa $[c]$ isoquinolines 2a. The reaction is formally a 1,1 -cycloaddition and is stereospecific in that $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{R}^{3}$ are in the exo and endo positions, respectively, in the primary products. The latter, on heating, undergo an equilibration with their isomers $\mathbf{4 a}$ via ring expansion to $\mathbf{3 a}$. In cases where either $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ or $\mathrm{R}^{3}$ is a hydrogen atom this equilibration
between 2a and $\mathbf{4 a}$ is accompanied by a slower [1,5] sigmatropic hydrogen shift which leads irreversibly to the 2-benzazepine 5 a in high yield. The reaction thus provides a useful synthetic route to the latter. Work in other groups ${ }^{2,3}$ has shown that the analogous nitrile imines 1b (Scheme 1, $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{N}$ ) undergo an almost exactly parallel series of reactions giving the cyclopropa[c]cinnolines $\mathbf{2 b}$ as the primary products and, similarly, providing a good route to the 1,2 -benzodiazepines $\mathbf{5 b}$. However, an additional product $6 \mathbf{b}$ was also isolated ${ }^{2}$ in two cases where $R^{1}$ was a methyl group, possibly formed by a prototropic rearrangement in the intermediate $\mathbf{3 b}$ or in the final product $\mathbf{5 b}$. In the earlier work ${ }^{1}$ on the nitrile ylides $\mathbf{1 a}$, no reactants with a methyl group in the $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ position had been studied and thus formation of products such as $\mathbf{6 a}$ was not possible.
This paper reports the generation and reactions of the range of nitrile ylides 10a,b,d-h, (Scheme 2) all of which have $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me}$


Scheme 2 Reagents: i, $\mathrm{R}^{2} \mathrm{R}^{3} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}\right) \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{Pd}(0)$; ii, $\mathrm{PCl}_{5}$ or $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$ $\mathrm{HCONMe}_{2}$; iii, $\mathrm{KOBu}^{t}$ or $\mathrm{LiN}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Table 1 Identification of substituents

| Compounds | Substituents |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{8 - 1 6}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{a}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me} ; \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}$ |
| $\mathbf{b}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me} ; \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{Ph}$ |
| $\mathbf{c}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me} ; \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Ph} ; \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}$ |
| $\mathbf{d}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me} ; \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Me} ; \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{Me}$ |
| $\mathbf{e}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me} ; \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Me} ; \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{Ph}$ |
| $\mathbf{f}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me} ; \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Ph} ; \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{Me}$ |
| $\mathbf{g}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Et} ; \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Me} ; \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{Me}$ |
| $\mathbf{h}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me} ; \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Ph} ; \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{Ph}$ |

or Et (the substituents are identified in Table 1). The work had two initial objectives. Firstly, it was of interest to find out whether the presence of groups larger than hydrogen in the $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ position would impede the cyclisation reaction, i.e. whether it would be possible to use this route to prepare 2-benzazepines such as 5 a with a substituent on C-5. This was in question because it had been shown earlier ${ }^{4}$ that 1.7 electrocyclisation reactions in analogous biaryl systems are inhibited by steric factors which prevent the reactant adopting the helical transition state required for cyclisation. It was thought possible that the interaction between the $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ group in $\mathbf{1 0}$ and the adjacent aromatic hydrogen atom could have a similar effect. Secondly, if the cyclisation should take place, the main interest was to find out whether it would lead to compounds of the type $\mathbf{6 a}$, analogues of the nitrile imine products $\mathbf{6 b}$. Such products could arise via a base-induced hydrogen shift in intermediates of the type 3a ( $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Et}$ ) and, if formed, would be of use in the further chemical elaboration of the 2-benzazepine system.

## Results and discussion

## Preparation of precursors $\mathbf{8 a - h}$ and generation of the nitrile

 ylides 10a,b,d-hThe nitrile ylides were generated from the amides $\mathbf{8}$ (Scheme 2) by the method used in earlier work, ${ }^{1,4}$ i.e. conversion into the imidoyl chlorides 9 followed by 1,3-dehydrochlorination of the latter in THF at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using a strong non-nucleophilic base.

Preparation of precursors $\mathbf{8 a - h}$. The amides $\mathbf{8}$ were easily prepared in high yields by the Suzuki coupling of the boronic acid $7^{5}$ with a set of bromoalkenes whose substituents are shown in Table 1. Interestingly, in most of these compounds, the polysubstituted alkenyl groups were sufficiently bulky to be inhibited from free rotation on the NMR timescale at room temperature and hence to give ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra in which the benzylic methylene protons were diastereotopic ( $J c a .14 .5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ )

The cyclisation reactions. In the event it proved that the presence of non-hydrogen substituents (methyl or ethyl) in the $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ position of $\mathbf{1 0}$ did not in any way impede the cyclisation reaction and in all cases the reactions gave the cyclopropa $[c]$ isoquinolines 11 (Scheme 2) in yields (66-80\%) not inferior to those obtained in the earlier work. The products were identified by comparison of their ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra with those obtained previously. ${ }^{1}$

## Thermal isomerisation of the cyclopropa[c]isoquinolines 11a,b,d-h

Cyclopropa[c]isoquinolines with $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ or $\mathbf{R}^{3}=\mathbf{H}$. The first two examples studied 11a,b (Scheme 3) $\left(\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}\right.$, respectively) both isomerised, as expected, via the general route determined earlier (Scheme 1) to give the 2-benzazepines 13a,b in yields of 100 and $75 \%$, respectively. The products were identified by comparison of their ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra with similar compounds prepared in the earlier work. ${ }^{1}$ These conversions show that the general route can be used for the synthesis of these 5 -substituted derivatives even in the case $\mathbf{1 3 b}\left(\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{Ph}\right)$ where there are three adjacent substituents. There was however a marked difference in the rate of the isomerisation reactions.


( $\mathrm{R}^{3}$ as shown in Table 1)


Scheme 3
The compound 11a $\left(\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}\right)$ isomerised easily and quantitatively in refluxing benzene ( 12 h ) and even converted slowly at room temperature, whereas $\mathbf{1 1 b}\left(\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{Ph}\right)$ required 24 h at the higher temperature of refluxing toluene. It is difficult to see how the presence of the phenyl group in the latter could disfavour the first step $(\mathbf{1 1 b} \rightarrow \mathbf{1 2 b})$ and it therefore seems likely that the observed effect is due to the combined effect of the three substituents on the shape of $\mathbf{1 2 b}$ which, probably by flattening the ring, makes the ring inversion or the transition state for the sigmatropic hydrogen migration less accessible.

Cyclopropa[c]isoquinolines with $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ and $\mathbf{R}^{3} \neq \mathbf{H}$. All the other examples in the series $\mathbf{1 1 d}-\mathbf{h}$ were of this type and hence were unable to follow the general reaction path (Scheme 1) leading to 5a. The alternative path for nitrile imines (Scheme 1) which gave compounds of the type $\mathbf{6 b}$ was reported to occur for the cyclisation of $\mathbf{1 b}\left(R^{1}=R^{2}=R^{3}=\mathrm{Me}\right)$. In the reaction of the analogous nitrile ylide $\mathbf{1 0 d}$ it was found that the cyclopropa $[c]$ isoquinoline 11d was the only product even though the reaction was carried out in the presence of excess base. The thermal isomerisation of $\mathbf{1 1 d}$ required 24 h at reflux in $p$-xylene and gave, not $\mathbf{6 a}\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{Me}\right)$, but 1,4-dihydro-4-isopropenyl-4-methyl-3-phenylisoquinoline $\mathbf{1 4 d}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Me}\right)$ (Scheme 4)


Scheme 4
in quantitative yield. It seems likely that the product is formed via a homo [1,5] sigmatropic hydrogen shift which, although it is a well known reaction for appropriately substituted cyclopropanes, ${ }^{6}$ is a new mode of rearrangement for this system. The structure of the product was confirmed by X-ray crystallography (Fig. 1).
The generality of the reaction was explored by studying three other examples 11e-g (substituents identified in Table 1). The isomeric pair of compounds $\mathbf{1 1 e}$ and 11 f reacted more slowly but both gave $\mathbf{1 4 f}$ in high yield. The isomer 11e has its methyl group in the exo position and obviously cannot directly undergo a homo $[1,5]$ sigmatropic hydrogen shift and must therefore react via isomerisation to give $\mathbf{1 1 f}$ before the hydrogen migration. These clean conversions of compounds 11e and 11f into $14 f$ contrast strongly with the previously studied ${ }^{1}$ thermolysis of compound $11\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{Me}\right.$ ) (Scheme 5) (and its isomer with the groups on $\mathrm{C}-1$ transposed) which gave the


Fig. 1 Crystal structure of compound 14d


Scheme 5
$5 H$-2-benzazepine $16\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{Me}\right)$ (structure confirmed by X-ray crystallography). Compound 11f differs from this reactant only in the presence of the methyl group ( $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ ) at C-7b but this clearly serves to divert completely the reaction into the new path. The cause of this effect is not understood at present. The next reactant in the series, $11 \mathrm{~g}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Et}\right.$, Scheme 4$)$, also followed the same path to give $\mathbf{1 4 g}$ in $90 \%$ yield, so the ethyl group can be seen to have a similar effect.

The final reactant $\mathbf{1 1 h}$ by the nature of its substituents $\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\right.$ $\mathrm{Me} ; \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{Ph}$ ) was unable to follow either of the reaction paths in Schemes 3 or 4 and was studied to find out whether the presence of the C-7b methyl group would completely inhibit the path in Scheme 5. In the event the reactant isomerised very slowly ( $40 \%$ after 6 days at reflux in $p$-xylene) to give a product whose mass and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were consistent with structure $\mathbf{1 6 h}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me} ; \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{Ph}\right)$ but which proved to be too unstable to characterise fully. The $\mathrm{C}-7 \mathrm{~b}$ methyl group in the reactant therefore does not fully suppress this reaction path but does slow it down.

In none of these cases was any product of the type $\mathbf{6 a}$ formed either in the initial nitrile ylide cyclisations, or in the subsequent thermal rearrangements of the cycloprop $[c]$ isoquinolines, or when the latter were heated in the presence of lithium bis(trimethylsilylamide).

This work has therefore shown that for diene-conjugated nitrile ylides of the type 1a, the presence of substituents Me or Et in the $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ position does not impede the cyclisation to give 2a, and that (i) if $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ or $\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}$ then the latter undergo the normal thermal rearrangement to give 5 -substituted 2-benzazepines 5a; (ii) where $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{R}^{3} \neq \mathrm{H}$ and either is a $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ group then the presence of the non-hydrogen $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ substituent in the $\mathrm{C}-7 \mathrm{~b}$ pos-
ition in 2a completely diverts the thermal rearrangement into a new path (Scheme 4) leading to the formation of 1,4-dihydro-4alkenylisoquinolines 14 in high yield; and (iii) 5-alkylidene-2benzazepines 6a analogous to the products obtained from similar nitrile imines are not formed in these reactions.

## Experimental

NMR spectra were run as solutions in deuteriochloroform unless otherwise stated. Chemical shifts are recorded as $\delta$ values. $J$ Values are given in Hz . In the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}$ spectra carbon multiplicity was established by single frequency off resonance decoupling or by DEPT. Mass spectra were obtained using electron ionisation at 70 eV unless otherwise stated. Preparative chromatography was carried out by the 'dry-column flash' technique ${ }^{7}$ using silica gel ( $15 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, Fluka Kieselgel $\mathrm{GF}_{254}$ ) or the 'medium pressure' (MPLC) technique ${ }^{8}$ using $100 \times 2.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ columns packed with Merck Kieselgel 60 (230-240 mesh), and eluting solvents based on hexane admixed with ether or ethyl acetate. Ether refers to diethyl ether. 'Evaporation' of solvents indicates evaporation under reduced pressure using a rotary evaporator. All drying of solutions was done with anhydrous magnesium sulfate.

## Solvents, reactants and starting materials

Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was distilled from sodium and benzophenone as required. Cyclohexane, hexane and $N, N-$ dimethylformamide (DMF) were distilled from calcium hydride as required. 1,2-Dimethoxyethane (DME) was passed through a column of activated alumina and stored over $4 \AA$ molecular sieves. The following materials were obtained from the suppliers indicated or were prepared by literature routes: 2-bromopropene (Avocado Research Chemicals Ltd.), $(Z)$ - and ( $E$ )-2-bromo-1-phenylpropene ${ }^{9,10}$ as a mixture, 2-bromo-3-methyl-but-2-ene (Avocado Research Chemicals Ltd.), $(Z)$ - and ( $E$ )-2-bromo-3-phenylbut-2-ene ${ }^{11}$ (separated by dry-column flash chromatography), 3-bromo-2-methylpent-2-ene, ${ }^{12} 2$-bromo-1, 1diphenylpropene ${ }^{13}$ and 2-(benzoylaminomethylphenyl)boronic $\operatorname{acid}^{5} 7$.

## Synthesis of the $\boldsymbol{N}$-(2-alkenylbenzyl)benzamides 8a-h

These compounds were synthesised by the general method described in detail for the first example, in all cases the reaction time was 20 h .
$N$-(2-Isopropenylbenzyl)benzamide 8a. 2-Bromopropene (1.52 $\mathrm{g}, 12.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) ( $0.66 \mathrm{~g}, 0.58 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.8 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ catalyst) were stirred in $1,2-$ dimethoxyethane ( $50 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) under dry nitrogen for 20 min . 2(Benzoylaminomethylphenyl)boronic acid $7(3.38 \mathrm{~g}, 13.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and sodium carbonate ( $3.42 \mathrm{~g}, 12.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in water $\left(16.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ were added and the mixture heated at reflux for 20 h . The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature and passed through a pad of alumina. The DME was removed in vacuo and dichloromethane $\left(100 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was added. The organic layer was separated, dried and the solvent removed in vacuo to give a brown oil. Dry-column flash chromatography (silica, hexane-ethyl acetate, $9: 1$ ) gave $N$-(2-isopropenylbenzyl)benzamide 8a as a white solid ( $1.96 \mathrm{~g}, 65 \%$ ), $\mathrm{mp} 92-93^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from ethanol [Found: C, 81.1; H, 6.8; N, 5.5\%; (M + 1) ${ }^{+}$, 252.1391 . $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}$ requires C, 81.2; H, 6.8; N, 5.6\%; $(M+1)^{+}$, 252.1388]; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.07\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.64(2 \mathrm{H}$, d, J5.5, CH 2 ), $4.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}), 5.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}), 6.57(1 \mathrm{H}$, br, NH), 7.14-7.51 (7 H, m, Ar-H), 7.72-7.79 (2 H, m, Ar-H); $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 24.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 41.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 115.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 126.7$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 127.2(\mathrm{CH}), 127.3(\mathrm{CH}), 128.2(\mathrm{CH}), 128.4(\mathrm{CH}), 128.7$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 131.2(\mathrm{CH}), 134.3$ (quat.), 143.5 (quat.), 144.8 (quat.), 167.0 (quat.); $v_{\max }$ (Nujol)/cm ${ }^{-1} 1636$ (C=O), 3331 (NH).
$(Z)$ - and ( $E$ )- $N$-[2-(1-Methyl-2-phenylethenyl)benzyl]benzamide $\mathbf{8 b}$ and $8 \mathbf{c}$. The usual work-up gave a brown oil which on dry-flash column chromatography (silica, hexane-ethyl acetate,
$9: 1)$ followed by MPLC (silica, hexane-ethyl acetate, $9: 1$ ) gave (a) ( $Z$ )- $N$-[2-(1-methyl-2-phenylethenyl)benzyl]benzamide $\mathbf{8 b}$ as a white solid $(21 \%), \mathrm{mp} 147-148^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from hexane-ethanol [Found: C, 84.4; H, 6.5; N, 4.3\%; $(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$, 328.1701. $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}$ requires C, 84.4; H, 6.5; N, 4.3\%; $(M+1)^{+}$, 328.1701]; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.22\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.12(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 14.2$ and $\left.3.5, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.77\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, dd, $J 14.2$ and $\left.7.6, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $5.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}, \mathrm{NH}), 6.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH} ; 12 \%$ NOE enhancement on irradiation at $\delta 2.22), 6.83-7.46(14 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}(63$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 28.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 41.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 126.6(\mathrm{CH}), 126.7(\mathrm{CH})$, $127.6(\mathrm{CH}), 127.7(\mathrm{CH}), 128.1(\mathrm{CH}), 128.2(\mathrm{CH}), 128.3(\mathrm{CH})$, 130.3 (CH), 131.0 (CH), 133.9 (quat.), 134.0 (quat.), 136.9 (quat.), 137.7 (quat.), 141.8 (quat.), 166.6 (quat.); $v_{\max }$ (Nujol)/ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1632$ (C=O), 3317 (NH); (b) ( $E$ )- $N$-[2-(1-methyl-2phenylethenyl)benzyl]benzamide 8 c as a white solid ( $10 \%$ ), mp $112-113^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from hexane-ethanol [Found: C, 84.4; H, 6.5; N, 4.3\%; $(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$, 328.1701. $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}$ requires C, 84.4; H, 6.5; $\left.\mathrm{N}, 4.3 \% ;(M+1)^{+}, 328.1701\right] ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.24(3 \mathrm{H}$, d, $\left.J .5, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.73\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 5.5, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH} ;$ no NOE enhancement on irradiation at $\delta 2.24), 6.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}, \mathrm{NH})$, 7.24-7.77 ( $14 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(63 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 20.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $41.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 126.6(\mathrm{CH}), 126.7(\mathrm{CH}), 127.3(\mathrm{CH}), 127.5(\mathrm{CH})$, $128.1(\mathrm{CH}), 128.4(\mathrm{CH}), 128.5(\mathrm{CH}), 128.6(\mathrm{CH}), 128.8(\mathrm{CH})$, $129.9(\mathrm{CH}), 134.2$ (quat.), 134.5 (quat.), 137.2 (quat.), 137.8 (quat.), 145.3 (quat.), 167.1 (quat.); $v_{\max }(N u j o l) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1632$ (C=O), 3317 (NH); and (c) $N$-(2-phenylbenzyl)benzamide as a white solid ( $40 \%$ ), mp $95-96^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from hexane-ethanol (lit., ${ }^{4}$ $\left.94.5-95.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.
$N$-[2-(1,2-Dimethylprop-1-enyl)benzyl]benzamide 8d. White solid ( $70 \%$ ), mp $105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from hexane-ethanol [Found: C, 81.4; $\mathrm{H}, 8.0 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.7 \% ;(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}, 280.169$ 98. $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}$ requires C, 81.7; H, 7.6; N, 5.0\%; $\left.(M+1)^{+}, 280.17014\right] ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}(250 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1.42\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.80\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.90(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.47\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, dd, $J 14.7$ and $\left.5.5, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 14.7$ and $\left.5.6, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.01-7.77(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H})$; $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(63 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 19.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 41.6$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 126.5(\mathrm{CH}), 126.7(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 127.5(\mathrm{CH}), 128.2(\mathrm{CH})$, $128.2(\mathrm{CH}), 128.4(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.9(\mathrm{CH}), 131.2(\mathrm{CH}), 134.3$ (quat.), $134.7(2 \times$ quat.), 144.5 (quat.), 167.0 (quat.); $v_{\max }($ Nujol $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1637(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 3327(\mathrm{NH})$.
( $Z$ )- $N$-[2-(1-Methyl-2-phenylprop-1-enyl)benzyl]benzamide
8e. White solid ( $63 \%$ ), mp 141-143 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from hexane-ethyl acetate [Found: C, 84.8; H, 7.1; N, 4.0\%; (M + 1) ${ }^{+}$, 342.1844 . $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}$ requires C, 84.4; H, 6.8; $\mathrm{N}, 4.1 \%$; $\left.(M+1)^{+}, 342.1858\right]$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.06\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.13\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.96$ $\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 14.3\right.$ and $\left.3.7, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 14.3$ and 7.5 , $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $5.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.06-7.54(14 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}(63$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 20.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 22.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 41.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 125.9(\mathrm{CH})$, $126.8(\mathrm{CH}), 126.9(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 127.1(\mathrm{CH}), 127.5(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.2$ $(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.6(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.7(\mathrm{CH}), 129.8(\mathrm{CH}), 131.2(\mathrm{CH})$, 131.4 (quat.), 133.0 (quat.), 134.3 (quat.), 134.4 (quat.), 143.6 (quat.), 143.8 (quat.), 167.1 (quat.); $v_{\max }($ Nujol $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1635$ (C=O), 3301 (NH).

## ( E)- N -[2-(1-Methyl-2-phenylprop-1-enyl)benzyl]benzamide

8f. White solid $(78 \%)$, mp $125-127^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from hexane-ethyl acetate [Found: C, 84.6; H, 7.0; N, 4.1\%; (M + 1) ${ }^{+}$, 342.1855 . $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}$ requires C, $84.4 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.8 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.1 \% ;(M+1)^{+}, 342.1858$ ]; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1.67\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.78\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.61$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $6.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}, \mathrm{NH}), 6.91-7.72(14 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-$ $\mathrm{H}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(63 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 22.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 22.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 41.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $126.3(\mathrm{CH}), 126.7(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 127.0(\mathrm{CH}), 127.8(\mathrm{CH}), 128.0$ $(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.1(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.4(\mathrm{CH}), 128.5(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.7$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 131.3(\mathrm{CH}), 134.3$ (quat.), 134.4 (quat.), 134.6 (quat.), 143.2 (quat.), 143.6 (quat.), 167.1 (quat.); $v_{\max }(\mathrm{Nujol}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ 1636 (C=O), 3344 (NH).
$N$-[2-(1-Ethyl-2-methylprop-1-enyl)benzyl]benzamide $\quad \mathbf{8 g}$. White solid ( $41 \%$ ) $\mathrm{mp} 105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from hexane-ethyl acetate (Found: C, 81.8; H, 8.2; N, 4.5. $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 81.9 ; \mathrm{H}$, $7.9 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.8 \%) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 0.92\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 7.5, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $1.42\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.82\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.14\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$,
$2.49\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.54\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 6.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$, NH), $7.00-7.80(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(63 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 12.6$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 22.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 41.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 126.7$ $(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 127.2(\mathrm{CH}), 128.1(\mathrm{CH}), 128.5(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 129.8(\mathrm{CH})$, 131.3 (CH), 134.4 (quat.), 134.6 (quat.), 135.2 (quat.), 143.0 (quat.), 167.0 (quat.); $v_{\max }$ (Nujol)/cm ${ }^{-1} 1636$ (C=O), 3340 (NH).
$\boldsymbol{N}$-[2-(1-Methyl-2,2-diphenylethenyl)benzyl]benzamide $\quad \mathbf{8 h}$. White solid ( $0.56 \mathrm{~g}, 54 \%$ ), mp 144-146 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from hexane-ethanol [Found: C, 86.4; H, 6.4; N, 3.3\%; (M+1) ${ }^{+}$404.2001. $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 86.3 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.3 ; \mathrm{N}, 3.5 \% ;(M+1)^{+}$, 404.2014]; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.11\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.18(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 14.4$ and $3.6, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $4.88\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, dd, $J 14.4$ and $\left.7.7, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $5.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}, \mathrm{NH}), 6.89-7.59(19 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}(63 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 24.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 40.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 126.1(\mathrm{CH}), 126.7(\mathrm{CH}), 126.9$ $(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 127.2(\mathrm{CH}), 127.3(\mathrm{CH}), 127.4(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.1$ $(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.2(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 129.1(\mathrm{CH}), 129.7(\mathrm{CH}), 129.8$ $(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 130.2(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 131.2(\mathrm{CH}), 133.9$ (quat.), 134.2 (quat.), 134.5 (quat.), 139.6 (quat.), 141.9 (quat.), 142.4 (quat.), 142.9 (quat.), 167.1 (quat.); $v_{\max }($ Nujol $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1640(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 3291$ (NH).

Generation of the nitrile ylides 10a,b,d-h derived from the amides 8a,b,d-h and their cyclisation to give the 1a,7b-dihydro-1a-phenyl-1 $H$-cyclopropa [c]isoquinolines 11a,b,d-h
The amides were converted into the imidoyl chlorides $\mathbf{9 a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d}-\mathbf{h}$ by reaction with phosphorus pentachloride or (chloromethylidene)(dimethyl)iminium chloride (prepared in situ by reaction of thionyl chloride with the reaction solvent DMF). This is described in detail for the preparation of $\mathbf{1 1 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 1 g}$ respectively. The imidoyl chlorides (not isolated or purified) were converted into the nitrile ylides (10) by reaction, as solutions in THF at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide ( 1.0 м in THF) or solid potassium tert-butoxide as described in detail for the preparation of 11a and 11f, respectively. The reactions were all worked-up as described for 11a.
1a,7b-Dihydro-7b-methyl-1a-phenyl-1 H -cyclopropa $[c]$ iso-
quinoline 11a. $N$-(2-Isopropenylbenzyl)benzamide $8 \mathbf{8}(0.5 \mathrm{~g}$, $1.99 \mathrm{mmol})$, dry ether ( $40 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and phosphorus pentachloride $(0.54 \mathrm{~g}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ were heated at reflux under dry nitrogen for 24 h . The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue dried under high vacuum at $30-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $2-3 \mathrm{~h}$. Dry THF $\left(30 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was added and the solution cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide ( $2.99 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 2.99 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise with rapid stirring under dry nitrogen. The mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , then allowed to warm to room temperature. Ammonium chloride ( $25 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}, 30 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added and the mixture stirred for 10 min . The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer extracted with dichloromethane (DCM) $(2 \times 30$ $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried and the solvent removed in vacuo. Dry-column flash chromatography (silica, hexane-ethyl acetate, $9: 1$ ) gave 11a as a yellow oil ( $0.35 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%$ ) [Found: $(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}, \quad 234.1279 . \quad \mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}$ requires $(M+1)^{+}$, 234.1283]; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 0.18\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 5.1, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.29$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.51\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 5.1, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 7.25-7.63(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-$ $\mathrm{H}), 8.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{c}}\left(63 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 19.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 24.6$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.0$ (quat.), 57.4 (quat.), 123.5 (quat.), 124.7 (CH), $125.5(\mathrm{CH}), 127.0(\mathrm{CH}), 128.1(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 129.1(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 129.5$ (CH), $131.2(\mathrm{CH}), 140.2$ (quat.), 140.6 (quat.), 153.8 (CH); $v_{\max }($ Nujol $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1615(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N})$.
1a,7b-Dihydro-7b-methyl-1-endo,1a-diphenyl-1 H -cyclopropa[ $c$ ]isoquinoline 11b. Similarly the reaction of $(Z)-N-[2-(1-$ methyl-2-phenylethenyl)benzyl]benzamide 8b ( $0.24 \mathrm{~g}, 0.31$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ with phosphorus pentachloride ( $0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 24 h followed by lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide $\left(1.10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 1.10\right.$ mmol ) gave 11b as a white crystalline solid ( $0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 66 \%$ ), mp $156-158^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from pentane-DCM [Found: C, $89.4 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.2 ; \mathrm{N}$, $4.2 \%$; $(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$, 310.1591. $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}$ requires C, 89.3; H, 6.2; N , $\left.4.5 \% ;(M+1)^{+}, 310.1596\right] ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1.54(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}), 6.76-7.76(14 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.07(1 \mathrm{H}$, d, $J 0.7, \mathrm{HC}=\mathrm{N}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{c}}\left(63 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 21.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 30.3(\mathrm{C} 1)$,
34.6 (quat., C-7b), 61.2 (quat., C-1a), $125.6(\mathrm{CH}), 125.7(\mathrm{CH})$, $125.9(\mathrm{CH}), 127.2(\mathrm{CH}), 128.2(\mathrm{CH}), 129.2(\mathrm{CH}), 131.2(\mathrm{CH})$, $132.0(\mathrm{CH}), 132.8$ (quat.), 136.9 (quat.), 141.8 (quat.), 155.2 $(\mathrm{HC}=\mathrm{N}) ; v_{\max }(\mathrm{Nujol}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1600(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N})$.

1a,7b-Dihydro-1,1,7b-trimethyl-1a-phenyl-1 H -cyclopropa-
[ $c$ ]isoquinoline 11d. Similarly the reaction of $N-[2-(1,2-$ dimethylprop-1-enyl)benzyl]benzamide 8d ( $0.75 \mathrm{~g}, 2.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and phosphorus pentachloride ( $0.75 \mathrm{~g}, 3.59 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 60 h followed by lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide ( $4.04 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 4.04$ mmol ) gave 11d as a white crystalline solid ( $0.53 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%$ ), mp $143-144^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from hexane-ethanol (Found: C, 87.5; H, 7.5; N, $5.1 \% ; \mathrm{M}^{+}$, 261.1515. $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}$ requires C, 87.3; H, 7.3; N, 5.4\%; $\left.M^{+}, 261.1518\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 0.69\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.35$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $1.49\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.25-7.55(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.30$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{HC}=\mathrm{N}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(63 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 15.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 18.9 (quat.), $20.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 31.9$ (quat.), 62.4 (quat.), $125.5(\mathrm{CH})$, $125.6(\mathrm{CH}), 126.1(\mathrm{CH}), 126.8(\mathrm{CH}), 128.1(\mathrm{CH}), 128.3(2 \times$ CH ), $130.8(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 131.2(\mathrm{CH}), 139.1$ (quat.), 140.6 (quat.), 154.5 (quat.); $m / z$ (EI) (M ${ }^{+}$, 261, 27\%), 260 (100), 158 (33), 143 (80), 141 (12), 128 (34), 115 (20), 103 (11); $v_{\max }(\mathrm{Nujol}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ 1618 (C=N).

## 1a,7b-Dihydro-1,7b-dimethyl-1-endo,1a-diphenyl-1 H -

cyclopropa[c]isoquinoline 11e. A similar reaction of $(Z)-N$ -[2-(1-methyl-2-phenylprop-1-enyl)benzyl]benzamide $\mathbf{8 e}(0.20 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.59 \mathrm{mmol})$ with phosphorus pentachloride $(0.17 \mathrm{~g}, 1.37 \mathrm{mmol})$ for 60 h followed by lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide ( $2.36 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$, 2.36 mmol ) gave 11e as a white solid ( $0.13 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%$ ), mp 179$181{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from pentane-DCM [Found: C, 88.9; H, 6.4; N, $4.0 \%$; $(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$, 324.1752. $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 89.1 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.55 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.3 \%$; $\left.(M+1)^{+}, 324.1752\right]$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1.49\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $1.68\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.03-7.64(14 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \operatorname{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{HC}=\mathrm{N}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(63 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 18.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 23.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 33.5$ (quat.), 34.3 (quat.), 62.7 (quat.), $125.2(\mathrm{CH}), 125.4(2 \times \mathrm{CH})$, $127.0(\mathrm{CH}), 127.1(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.0(\mathrm{CH}), 128.4(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 131.0$ $(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 131.2(\mathrm{CH}), 131.5$ (quat.), 139.3 (quat.), 139.9 (quat.), 140.6 (quat.), $154.6(\mathrm{HC}=\mathrm{N}) ; v_{\max }(\mathrm{Nujol}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1623$ ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ ).

1a,7b-Dihydro-1,7b-dimethyl-1-exo,1a-diphenyl-1 H -cyclopropa[c]isoquinoline 11f. A similar reaction of ( $E$ )-N-[2-(1-methyl-2-phenylprop-1-enyl)benzyl]benzamide $8 \mathbf{8 f}(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 1.50$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ with phosphorus pentachloride ( $0.43 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 60 h followed by solid potassium tert-butoxide ( $0.34 \mathrm{~g}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave 11f as a colourless oil ( $0.35 \mathrm{~g}, 72 \%$ ) [Found: $(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$, 324.1763. $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}$ requires $\left.(M+1)^{+}, 324.1752\right] ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}(200 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1.01\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.58\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.13-7.75(14 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{HC}=\mathrm{N}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(63 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 20.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $22.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 28.8$ (quat.), 32.8 (quat.), 61.9 (quat.), $125.9(\mathrm{CH})$, $126.3(\mathrm{CH}), 126.4(\mathrm{CH}), 126.5(\mathrm{CH}), 127.3$ (quat.), 127.6 $(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 127.9(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.6(\mathrm{CH}), 130.5(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 131.0$ $(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 132.0(\mathrm{CH}), 138.3$ (quat.), 140.7 (quat.), 141.4 (quat.), $156.0(\mathrm{HC}=\mathrm{N}) ; v_{\max }(\mathrm{Nujol}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1625(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N})$.

7b-Ethyl-1a, 7b-dihydro-1,1-dimethyl-1a-phenyl-1 H -cyclopropa[c]isoquinoline 11g. Thionyl chloride $\left(0.06 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 0.81\right.$ mmol ) was added to $N$-[2-(1-ethyl-2-methylprop-1-enyl)benzyl]benzamide $8 \mathrm{~g}(0.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.68 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMF ( $0.8 \mathrm{~g}, 10.2$ mmol ) and stirred under a nitrogen atmosphere for 30 min . The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue dried under high vacuum at $30-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $2-3 \mathrm{~h}$. Dry THF ( $15 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added and the solution cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Lithium bis(trimethylsily)amide ( $2.72 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 2.72 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise with rapid stirring under dry nitrogen. The mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , then allowed to warm to room temperature. The usual work-up gave 11 g as a yellow oil ( $0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%$ ) [Found: $(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$, 276.1761. $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}$ requires $(M+1)^{+}$, 276.1752]; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 0.59\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.00\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.34$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.44\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.09\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 7.18-7.41$ ( $9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), $8.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{HC}=\mathrm{N})$; $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(63 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 12.4$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 16.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.1$ (quat.), $20.3(\mathrm{CH}), 23.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.1$ (quat.), 62.6 (quat.), $125.6(\mathrm{CH}), 126.5$ (quat.), $127.0(\mathrm{CH})$, $127.4(\mathrm{CH}), 128.3(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.6(\mathrm{CH}), 130.7(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 130.9$
$(\mathrm{CH}), 137.2$ (quat.), 140.8 (quat.), $154.8(\mathrm{HC}=\mathrm{N}) ; v_{\max }(\mathrm{Nujol}) /$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1626(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N})$.

1a,7b-Dihydro-7b-methyl-1,1,1a-triphenyl-1 H -cyclopropa[c]isoquinoline $\quad 11 \mathrm{~h} . \quad N$-[2-(1-methyl-2,2-diphenylethenyl)benzyl]benzamide $\mathbf{8 h}(0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMF ( 0.46 g , $5.89 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with thionyl chloride $\left(0.034 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 0.46\right.$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ as described for $\mathbf{1 1 g}$. Further reaction with potassium tert-butoxide ( $0.26 \mathrm{~g}, 2.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave $\mathbf{1 1 \mathrm { h }}$ as a yellow solid $(0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 67 \%)$ [Found: C, 90.2 ; H, 6.2; N, 3.35\%; (M+1) ${ }^{+}$, 386.1935. $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}$ requires C, $90.4 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.0 ; \mathrm{N}, 3.6 \% ;(M+1)^{+}$, 386.1909]; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1.76\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.77-7.80$ ( $19 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), $7.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{HC=N})$; $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(63 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 22.3$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 35.4$ (quat.), 42.9 (quat.), 62.6 (quat.), $125.1(\mathrm{CH}), 125.7$ (CH), 125.8 (CH), 125.9 (CH), $126.1(\mathrm{CH}), 126.6(\mathrm{CH}), 127.7$ $(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 127.9(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.2(\mathrm{CH}), 128.3(\mathrm{CH}), 130.8$ $(2 \times \mathrm{CH})$, $131.1(2 \times \mathrm{CH})$, $131.7(\mathrm{CH}), 138.4$ (quat.), 140.0 (quat.), 140.1 (quat.), 140.4 (quat.), $155.8(\mathrm{HC}=\mathrm{N}) ; v_{\max }(\mathrm{film}) /$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1625(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N})$.

## Thermal isomerisation products of the 1a,7b-dihydro-1a-phenyl-

 $1 H$-cyclopropa[c]isoquinolines 11a,b,d-hCompounds 11a,b,d-h were heated under reflux under nitrogen in the solvent indicated and the reactions were worked up by the method given for the first example.

5-Methyl-3-phenyl-1 H -2-benzazepine 13a. Compound 11a $(0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry benzene ( $2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was heated under reflux for 12 h . Evaporation of the solvent and dry-column flash chromatography of the residual brown oil (silica, hexaneethyl acetate, $9: 1$ ) gave 5 -methyl-3-phenyl-1 $H$-2-benzazepine 13a as a brown crystalline solid ( $0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \%$ ), mp $111-112^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from pentane-dichloromethane [Found: C, 87.4; H, 6.8; N, $5.9 \%$; $(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}, 234.1264 . \mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}$ requires C, 87.5; H, 6.5; N, $\left.6.0 \% ;(M+1)^{+}, 234.1283\right] ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 245 \mathrm{~K}\right) 2.49$ ( 3 $\left.\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 1.2, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.93\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 10.5, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 10.5$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 6.90 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s, CH), $7.25-7.78$ ( $9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}(63$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 23.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 56.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 107.5(\mathrm{CH}), 124.2(\mathrm{CH})$, $125.5(\mathrm{CH}), 126.0(\mathrm{CH}), 127.6(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 127.9(\mathrm{CH}), 128.0$ $(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 129.3(\mathrm{CH}), 129.9(\mathrm{CH}), 137.6$ (quat.), 137.8 (quat.), 138.7 (quat.), 147.4 (quat.), 165.1 (quat.); $v_{\max }(\mathrm{Nujol}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ 1618 (C=N).
5-Methyl-3,4-diphenyl-1 $\mathbf{H}$-2-benzazepine 13b. Compound 11b ( $0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in toluene ( $5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was heated under reflux for 24 h and gave 5-methyl-3,4-diphenyl-1 H -2benzazepine 13b as a yellow glassy foam ( $0.07 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%$ ) [Found: $(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$, 310.1606. $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}$ requires $(M+1)^{+}$, 310.1596]; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.35\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 9.9$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $4.91\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 9.9, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 7.05-7.63(14 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H})$; $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(63 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 21.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 55.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 126.8(\mathrm{CH}), 126.9$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 127.0(\mathrm{CH}), 127.1(\mathrm{CH}), 127.4(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 127.7(2 \times \mathrm{CH})$, $128.3(\mathrm{CH}), 128.4(\mathrm{CH}), 128.6(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 130.6(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 136.6$ (quat.), 138.8 (quat.), 139.4 (quat.), 139.6 (quat.), 139.7 (quat.), 143.0 (quat.), $167.3(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}) ; v_{\max }(\mathrm{Nujol}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1606(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N})$.

1,4-Dihydro-4-isopropenyl-4-methyl-3-phenylisoquinoline 14d. Compound 11d ( $0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $p$-xylene ( $5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was heated under reflux for 24 h and gave 1,4-dihydro-4-isopropenyl-4-methyl-3-phenylisoquinoline $\mathbf{1 4 d}$ as a white solid ( $0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \%$ ), mp 68-69 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from pentane [Found: C, $87.6 ; \mathrm{H}$, 7.6; N, 5.0\%; ( $\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}, 262.1601 . \mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}$ requires C, 87.3; H, 7.3; N, $5.4 \%$; $\left.(M+1)^{+}, 262.1596\right] ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1.49$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 0.8, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.61\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 5.02\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 21, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $5.11\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.12\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 21, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $7.17-7.88(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(63 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 20.9$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 25.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 48.5$ (quat.), $56.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 112.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 123.8$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 124.8(\mathrm{CH}), 125.4(\mathrm{CH}), 126.4(\mathrm{CH}), 127.1(\mathrm{CH}), 127.5$ (CH), $127.6(\mathrm{CH}), 128.4(\mathrm{CH}), 132.6$ (quat.), 134.3 (quat.), 138.3 (quat.), 140.7 (quat.), 147.5 (quat.), 171.4 (quat.); $v_{\text {max }}($ Nujol $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1628$ (C=N).

## 1,4-Dihydro-4-methyl-3-phenyl-4-(1-phenylethenyl)iso-

quinoline 14f. Compound 11e ( $0.06 \mathrm{~g}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $p$-xylene $\left(2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was heated under reflux for 48 h and gave 1,4-dihydro-4-
methyl-3-phenyl-4-(1-phenyletheny)isoquinoline $\mathbf{1 4 f}$ as a colourless oil $(0.05 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%)$ [Found: $(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$, 324.1743. $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}$ requires $\left.(M+1)^{+}, 324.1752\right]$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1.71(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.63\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 21, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.88\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 21, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.41(1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $5.54\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.55-7.45(14 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(63 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 26.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 48.6 (quat.), 52.9 $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 115.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 125.0(\mathrm{CH}), 125.5(\mathrm{CH}), 126.6(\mathrm{CH}), 126.7$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 127.2(\mathrm{CH}), 127.3(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 127.4(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 127.5$ $(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 127.8(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.2(\mathrm{CH}), 138.7$ (quat.), 140.8 (quat.), 141.0 (quat.), 151.5 (quat.), 154.6 (quat.), 171.8 (quat.); $v_{\max }($ Nujol $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1638(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N})$.

Compound 11f ( $0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 0.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $p$-xylene $\left(5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was heated under reflux for 48 h and gave 1,4-dihydro-4-methyl-3-phenyl-4-(1-phenylethenyl)isoquinoline $\mathbf{1 4 f}$ as a colourless oil ( $0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 66 \%$ ), identical with the sample obtained in the thermolysis of 11e, and 1a,7b-dihydro-1,7b-dimethyl-1-endo-phenyl-1a-phenyl-1 H -cyclopropa[c]isoquinoline 11e ( $0.03 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \%$ ).
4-Ethyl-1,4-dihydro-4-isopropenyl-3-phenylisoquinoline $\mathbf{1 4 g}$. Compound 11g ( $0.05 \mathrm{~g}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $p$-xylene ( $3 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was heated under reflux for 24 h and gave 4-ethyl-1,4-dihydro-4-isopropenyl-3-phenylisoquinoline $\mathbf{1 4 g}$ as a yellow oil $(45 \mathrm{mg}, 90$ \%) [Found: $(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}, 276.1685 . \mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}$ requires $(M+1)^{+}$, 276.1752]; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 0.46\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 7.3, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.52$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 0.7, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.03\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J 7.3, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $5.05\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.19\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 7.03-7.65$ $(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(63 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 9.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 30.2$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 52.2$ (quat.), $53.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 111.8(\mathrm{CH}), 124.3(\mathrm{CH}), 125.5$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 126.4(\mathrm{CH}), 127.1(\mathrm{CH}), 127.6(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 127.8(2 \times \mathrm{CH})$, $128.5(\mathrm{CH}), 132.0$ (quat.), 135.6 (quat.), 140.7 (quat.), 148.9 (quat.), 169.0 (quat.); $v_{\max }$ (Nujol)/ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1628$ (C=N).
4-Methyl-3,5,5-triphenyl-5H-2-benzazepine 16h. Compound $11 \mathrm{~h}(0.05 \mathrm{~g}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $p$-xylene ( $3 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was heated under reflux for 168 h and gave 4-methyl-3,5,5-triphenyl-5 H -2benzazepine 16 h as a yellow oil ( $0.02 \mathrm{~g}, 40 \%$ ) [Found: $(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$, 386.1939. $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}$ requires $(M+1)^{+}$, 386.1909]; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1.74\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.78-7.55(19 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, Ar-H), $8.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}, \mathrm{HC}=\mathrm{N})$; and starting material $(0.01 \mathrm{~g})$.

## The crystal structure of 1,4-dihydro-4-isopropenyl-4-methyl-3phenylisoquinoline 14d

Crystal data. Crystal data for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}, M=261.37$, monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / c, a=8.657(6), \quad b=24.090(18), \quad c=7.071(8) \AA$, $\beta=94.95(8)^{\circ}, \quad V=1469.1 \AA^{3}, \quad Z=4, \quad D_{\mathrm{c}}=1.18 \quad \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$, $F(000)=561.32$ (obtained from the values of the scattering factors at $\theta=0), T=150 \mathrm{~K}, \mu(\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{K} \alpha)=0.48 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$. Colourless block, $0.47 \times 0.35 \times 0.19 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$.

Data collection and processing. The crystals grew in clumps, and despite attempts to cut a single crystal out of the clumps none of the samples selected for study gave a diffraction pattern that could be indexed with reference to one orientation matrix. Indexing was performed using the program DIRAX, ${ }^{14}$ but the quality of the sample naturally limited the precision of the final structure determination, which, although it establishes chemical connectivity, is unsuited to more detailed analysis. Data were collected using $\omega-\theta$ scans with graphite-monochromated $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation in the range $5 \leqslant 2 \theta \leqslant 120^{\circ}$ on a Stoe Stadi4 diffractometer equipped with an Oxford Cryosystems low tem-
perature device. ${ }^{15}$ The structure was solved by direct methods (SIR92) ${ }^{16}$ and refined against $F$ with anisotropic displacement parameters on all non-H atoms, and H -atoms placed in calculated positions (CRYSTALS). ${ }^{17}$ The refinement converged to $R=9.73 \%$ and $R_{\mathrm{w}}=10.73 \%$ using a Chebychev polynomial weighting scheme for 1159 data with $F>4 \sigma(F)$ and 182 parameters. The final difference synthesis max and min were +0.49 and -0.32 e $\AA^{-3}$, respectively. Atomic coordinates, bond lengths and angles, and thermal parameters have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. $\dagger$
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